

01  
02  
03  
04  
05  
06 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
07 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

08 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ) CASE NO. CR01-101- RSL  
09 Plaintiff, )  
10 v. ) SUMMARY REPORT OF U.S.  
11 JOHN CARLTON PETRUS, ) MAGISTRATE JUDGE AS TO  
12 Defendant. ) ALLEGED VIOLATIONS  
OF SUPERVISED RELEASE  
13

14 An evidentiary hearing on supervised release revocation in this case was scheduled before  
15 me on December 8, 2005. The United States was represented by AUSA Ye-Ting Woo and the  
16 defendant by Jeffrey Smith. The proceedings were recorded on cassette tape.

17 Defendant had been sentenced on or about February 1, 2002 by the Honorable Robert S.  
18 Lasnik on a charge of Conspiracy to Manufacture 50 Grams or More of Methamphetamine and  
19 sentenced to 60 Months Custody, 5 years Supervised Release. (Dkt. 603).

20 The conditions of supervised release included requirements that defendant comply with all  
21 local, state, and federal laws and with the standard conditions of supervision. Other special  
22 conditions included no firearms, mandatory drug testing, narcotic addiction or drug dependency

01 treatment and testing, refrain from alcohol and other intoxicants, submit to search, and provide  
02 access to financial information.

03 On September 27, 2005, the conditions of supervised release were modified to require  
04 participation in a home confinement program with electronic monitoring for up to 60 days. (Dkt.  
05 1126).

06 In an application dated October 27, 2005, U.S. Probation Officer Brian Facklam alleged  
07 the following violation of the conditions of supervised release:

08 1. Committing the law violation of driving under the influence on September 12, 2005  
09 in violation of the general condition that he not commit another federal, state or local crime.

10 2. Failing to report police contact within 72 hours of being arrested or questioned by  
11 a law enforcement officer on September 12, 2005 in violation of standard condition 11.

12 3. Failing to appear for drug testing on September 26, October 7, and October 26,  
13 2005 in violation of special condition 3 which orders that he participate in drug testing if ordered  
14 to do so.

15 Defendant was advised in full as to those charges and as to his constitutional rights.

16 Defendant admitted each of the alleged violations and waived any evidentiary hearing as  
17 to whether they occurred.

18 I therefore recommend the Court find defendant violated his supervised release as alleged  
19 and that the Court conduct a hearing limited to the issue of disposition. The next hearing will be  
20 set before Judge Lasnik.

21 ///

22 ///

01 Pending a final determination by the Court, defendant has been detained.

02 DATED this 8th day of December, 2005.

03 

04 Mary Alice Theiler  
05 United States Magistrate Judge

06  
07 cc: District Judge: Honorable Robert S. Lasnik  
08 AUSA: Ye-Ting Woo  
09 Defendant's attorney: Jeffrey Smith  
10 Probation officer: Brian Facklam  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22